

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,639	08/22/2003	Thomas J. Fogarty	ARB-9003	9788	
75	90 08/02/2006		EXAM	INER	
William A. English			PREBILIC	PREBILIC, PAUL B	
Cohen Sakaguc	hi & English LLP				
9th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2040 Main Street			3738	3738	
Irvine, CA 92	614		DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	10/646,639	FOGARTY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Paul B. Prebilic	3738		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9-65,74,75 and 92-118</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sh</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,9,10,14-21,24,29-31,34,41,51-54,</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>32,37-39,96,113 and 116-118</u> is/are of the subject to restriction and/or	<u>eet</u> is/are withdrawn from conside . <u>56,74,92-95,97-112,114 and 115</u> objected to.			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>various</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 3-5,11-13,22,23,25-28,33,35,36,40,42-50,55,57-65 and 75.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,639

Art Unit: 3738

Election/Restrictions

Claims 3, 4, 5, 11-13, 22, 23, 25-27, 28, 33, 35, 36, 40, 42-50, 55, 57-65 and 75 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on May 22, 2006.

Even though not included in Applicants' list of withdrawn claims, claims 22 and 28 were also withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected species because the elected species (Figure 49) is not a friction lock but an interference lock; note that page 29, which describes Figure 49, makes no mention of friction or a friction lock.

Similarly, claim 75 has been withdrawn because it sets forth that the attachment device is located on an outer radial side of the gasket body. Since the elected species (Figure 25) does not have the attachment device on the outer radial side of the gasket body, the Examiner concluded that this claim is drawn to a non-elected invention also.

In the response filed May 22, 2006, Applicants failed to identify copending applications and support for new or amended claims. Applicants are respectfully requested to provide such information in response to this Office action or the response may be held non-responsive.

Drawings

Figure 1 to 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

Art Unit: 3738

abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

In claims 92, 107, 109, 115, and 117, the terms "shelf" and "slope" are not used in the specification to describe the device and thus it is unclear what element of the claim language corresponds to the disclosed invention of elected species Figure 25.

Claim Objections

Claims 51-53, 74, 93, and 98-100 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The preambles are drawn to "a device for connecting a heart valve to a first mass", but the bodies of these claims positively recite the heart valve. For this reason, there is an inconsistency between the preamble and the claim body that makes it unclear whether the heart valve is positively required. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/646,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 74 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With regard to claims 74 and 101, there is no original support for a fabric covering over the flange or sewing ring of Figure 25 so this appears constitute new matter with respect to the original specification; see page 21 of the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The manner of claiming the flange as a separate element from the sewing ring in confusing in view of the invention as disclosed since the flange and sewing ring are essentially the same portion of the device as disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,639

Art Unit: 3738

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 15-21, 24, 29, 34, 41, 51-54, 56, 92-95, 97-112 and 114-115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Griffin et al (US 6,241,765). Griffin anticipates the claim language where the gasket as claimed is the stiffening ring (29) (see Figure 3) of Griffin, the sewing ring and/or skirt as claimed is the fabric (80) and/or shoulder (57), the attachment device as claimed is the opening (96) (see Figure 7), and the gasket radius as claimed is the inner radius of the stiffening ring; see column 5, line 19 et seq.

With regard to claim 9, the attachment device as claimed includes the pin (91) of Griffin.

With regard to claim 15, the tortuous channel would be provided when the fabric is covering the opening (96).

With regard to claim 29, the can as claimed is the opening (96) of Griffin.

With regard to claim 52, the terminology "biological valve" is interpreted broadly to mean that the valve is designed to be used in a living organism.

With regard to claim 56, the suture as claimed is met by the pin (91) of Griffin in that "suture" has a broad meaning in the art to include such structures.

With regard to claim 95, the "filament" as claimed is considered to be broad enough of a term to read on the pin (91) of Griffin.

Art Unit: 3738

With regard to claim 108, the term "crown" is interpreted to be broad enough to read on the valve (14) of Griffin.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 14-21, 24, 29-31, 34 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peterson et al (US 2003/0109922). Peterson anticipates the claim language where the gasket as claimed is the stent (16) and sewing ring (18) of Peterson, and the attachment device as claimed is hole (24) (see Figures 2 to 6, 11, and 13 of Peterson).

With regard to claim 15, the fabric sewing ring (18) of Griffin provides the tortuous channel as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32, 37-39, 96, 113, and 116-118 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,639 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Prebilic
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3738